Go-Landlock

Günther Noack blog.gnoack.org 2022-10-05

This slide deck: https://blog.gnoack.org/talks/go-landlock

High level overview of an attack



Let's limit this ambient access!

Show of hands!

- Who writes software that runs in a container? (docker, k8s, ...)
- Who writes software that runs **outside** a container?
- Who has tried to sandbox their software?
- Why not?

Limiting access is too hard with existing solutions!



Idea 1: Make it so simple that everyone can do it



Idea 2: Make it part of program initialization



These ideas are not new

• OpenBSD: pledge() and unveil()

int pledge(const char *promises, const char *execpromises); int unveil(const char *path, const char *permissions);

Very lightweight to use from C, a lot of OpenBSD programs are "pledged"

• FreeBSD: Capsicum

- A more full-fledged capability-passing security model
- Removes all access to global namespaces





Unprivileged sandboxing on Linux

... is otherwise very hard to use

- Seccomp-BPF: System call filter in bytecode language
- User namespaces + Mount namespaces and other namespaces

(there are more detailed slides on these at the end, if needed)

How to use Go-Landlock

Architecture Userspace Go program Initialization Drop rights Process untrusted input Go-landlock library System calls Linux kernel Check whether Enable Landlock permitted System Landlock for System Linux Security call impl the calling call impl Module thread

Step 1: Make sure your Linux kernel supports Landlock

- Needs to be (a) compiled into kernel and (b) enabled at boot time with Ism=landlock boot parameter (or by default with CONFIG_LSM) (source)
- Check whether you already have it enabled:

gnoack:~\$ cat /sys/kernel/security/lsm
Capability,landlock,lockdown,yama,bpf

- Now supported by default in:
 - Alpine Linux
 - Arch Linux
 - chromeOS (including for Linux 5.10)
 - Debian Sid (testing)
 - Fedora 35
 - Ubuntu 20.04 LTS

(source)



Step 2: State what file accesses you are going to do!



* access can be made more granular if required

Example: Image converter



https://github.com/landlock-lsm/go-landlock/blob/main/examples/convert/main.go

Example: Wiki software (simplified)



https://github.com/gnoack/ukuleleweb/blob/main/cmd/ukuleleweb/main.go

Example: Play with the go-landlock example tool

```
gnoack:~$ go install github.com/landlock-lsm/go-landlock/cmd/landlock-restrict@latest
gnoack:~$ export HOME=$ (mktemp --directory -t tmphome-XXXXXX))
gnoack:/home/gnoack$ export TMPDIR=$HOME/.localtmp
gnoack:/home/gnoack$ mkdir -p $TMPDIR
qnoack:/home/qnoack$ cd
gnoack:~$ landlock-restrict -ro /usr /lib /etc -rw "${HOME}}" /dev -- /bin/bash
[qnoack@nuc ~]$ ls
[qnoack@nuc ~]$ pwd
/tmp/tmphome-zMtx001
[qnoack@nuc ~]$ id
uid=1000(gnoack) gid=1000(gnoack) groups=1000(gnoack),962(docker)
[gnoack@nuc ~1$ ls ...
ls: cannot open directory '..': Permission denied
[qnoack@nuc ~]$
```

Current Limitations



Current limitations

Some small things that Landlocked processes can never do:

- No manipulation of FS topology (i.e. mounting, pivot_root)
- NO_NEW_PRIVS flag: (i.e. executing suid root binaries)
- Restricted use of ptrace() (debugging other processes)



Current Limitations

- Landlock is in development.
- Is not able to restrict all file operations yet
- But it's already limiting the most common ones :)



What is restrictable? (V1)



Applies to Landlock ABI V1. For exact semantics, see https://docs.kernel.org/userspace-api/landlock.html

What is restrictable? (V2)



For exact semantics, see https://docs.kernel.org/userspace-api/landlock.html

(also compare https://docs.google.com/document/d/1SkFpl_Xxyl4E6G2uYIIzL0gY2PFo-NI8ikblLvnpvIU/edit#)



Key Point

Please try it out!

err := landlock.V2.BestEffort().RestrictPaths(
 landlock.RODirs("/usr", "/bin"),
 landlock.RWDirs("/tmp"),



Landlock mailing list:

- https://lore.kernel.org/landlock/
- Subscribe: lists.linux.dev

Or to my own email:

• gnoack3000@gmail.com

PGP: 7F02 BDCC 6157 6E11 1A87 9BD1 1C62 9E5A F9E8 CDA1 Thank you!

Links

Go-Landlock:

- Source: <u>https://github.com/landlock-lsm/go-landlock</u>
- Docs: https://pkg.go.dev/github.com/landlock-lsm/go-landlock/landlock

Landlock Linux Security Module:

- <u>https://landlock.io/</u>
- Kernel docs: <u>https://docs.kernel.org/userspace-api/landlock.html</u>

This talk: <u>https://blog.gnoack.org/talks/go-landlock</u>

Questions

Bonus Slides

Go-Landlock Implementation

Architecture Userspace Go program Initialization Drop rights Process untrusted input Go-landlock library System calls Linux kernel Check whether Enable Landlock permitted System Landlock for System Linux Security call impl the calling call impl Module thread

How does Landlock get enabled?

- Create a Landlock ruleset file descriptor
- For each path we want to use:
 - **Open path** with O_PATH
 - Add path and its allowed access rights to landlock ruleset
- Enforce Landlock ruleset on the current thread



... and how many OS threads?

Answer: **Too many!** The Go runtime already starts goroutines before main()



syscall.AllThreadsSyscall to the rescue

```
syscall.AllThreadsSyscall(
    SYS_LANDLOCK_RESTRICT_SELF,
    uintptr(rulesetFd), uintptr(flags), 0)
```

A helper exposed by the runtime:

• Invokes a system call on each OS Thread managed by the runtime

But not

for cgo

• Expects that all syscalls return the same error

Works for Go! \o/

Libpsx to the rescue

- Part of libcap project
- Some syscalls are just thread-only

So...

- Learn about identity of all threads: intercept pthreads with a linker hack
- Invoke syscall on all OS threads:
 - Register a special signal handler under an unused(!) signal number for all threads
 - Signal all threads, so that they'll execute the syscall from that signal handler
 - Collect results from threads through global variable

https://sites.google.com/site/fullycapable/who-ordered-libpsx explains it in detail

The upside: This sounds more horrible than it is

• The other main user of this implementation technique:

Glibc

• You are already relying on this approach today...

Testing learnings...

- Needed to create subprocesses to run the actual tests
 - Landlock policies do not play nicely with the test framework
- It pays off to run Go tests in gemu under different kernels
 - florianl's <u>bluebox</u> framework has helped to get this working

Other Linux Sandboxing technology

Seccomp-BPF

- Unprivileged :)
- Install a "firewall" for system calls to be used later on
 - System call filter based on syscall number and (register) arguments
 - Requires to write BPF bytecode or to use larger libraries
- The list of system calls is not static
 - Differs between architectures
 - Differs between kernel versions
 - As of 5.19, 363 syscalls for x86_64, 352 syscalls for x86
 - \circ \quad Difficult to maintain an up to date list as a side project
 - Libraries do not usually give guarantees about the system calls they use
- Users: Chromium, OpenSSH, Firefox, Tor, some container software...
- https://blog.gnoack.org/post/pledge-on-linux/

Mount namespaces

- unshare (CLONE_NEWNS)
- Requires CAP_SYS_ADMIN (you need to be root-ish)
- You can acquire CAP_SYS_ADMIN with clone (..., CLONE_NEWUSER)
 - Can only be done at program execution boundary
- Process environment will be different than you'd expect, it's not very transparent to the program being sandboxed.

Same goes for most other namespaces (network, pid, ipc, ...)

AppArmor, SELinux, SMACK, TOMOYO

- Are also Linux Security Modules
- Sandboxing "from the outside" (more coarse)
- System administrator defines execution policies
- Inconsistent availability. Ubuntu uses AppArmor, RedHat uses SELinux.
- Enabling both AppArmor and SELinux in parallel ("LSM stacking") is work in progress

Various command line tools, firejail and friends

- Usually require root
 - Escalating privileges to drop privileges...?
 - Increase of TCB
- These build on combinations of various namespaces and more complicated seccomp mechanisms